On functions $F \colon \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}$ mapping cosets of $\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ to cosets of $\mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$

Jules Baudrin, Anne Canteaut & Léo Perrin

Inria, Paris, France

(nnía-

June 18th, 2024

Contact: jules.baudrin@inria.fr



The Sbox π

[GOST standards Streebog/Kuznyechik]

- $\pi: \mathbb{F}_2^8 \to \mathbb{F}_2^8$ bijection specified as a look-up table
- Reversed-engineered
- Happens to be extremely aligned !

[BirPerUdo16, PerUdo16, Per19]

- 1a) The Sbox π
- 1b) Bijections mapping $\gamma \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ onto $\mathcal{G}(\gamma) + \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ (and their linearity)

- 2a) The Kim mapping κ
- 2b) Functions mapping $\gamma \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ onto $F(\gamma) \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ (and their APN-ness)

Partitions of $\mathbb L$ and $\mathbb L^*$

Finite fields

- $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$ finite fields of characteristic 2.
- \mathbb{F} additive subgroup of $\mathbb{L} \implies \mathbb{L} = \bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{O}} x + \mathbb{F}$



Partitions of $\mathbb L$ and $\mathbb L^*$

Finite fields

- $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$ finite fields of characteristic 2.
- \mathbb{F} additive subgroup of $\mathbb{L} \implies \mathbb{L} = \bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{O}} x + \mathbb{F}$
- \mathbb{F}^* multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{L}^* \implies \mathbb{L}^* = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \mathbb{F}^*$



Decomposition of π

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{E} & \mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{256}, & \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{16} & \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F} \\ 16 \text{ additive cosets } x + \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}, & 17 \text{ multiplicative cosets } \gamma \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma \end{array}$

Decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

 $\mathbb{E} \ \mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{256}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{16} \qquad \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F}$

16 additive cosets $x + \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}$, 17 multiplicative cosets $\gamma \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma$

Multiplicative cosets to additive cosets

- For any $\gamma \mathbb{F}^* \neq \mathbb{F}^*$, $\pi(\gamma \mathbb{F}^*) = x_{\gamma} + \mathbb{F}^*$.

Decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

 $\blacksquare \quad \mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{256}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{16} \qquad \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F}$

16 additive cosets $x + \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}$, 17 multiplicative cosets $\gamma \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma$

Multiplicative cosets to additive cosets

- For any $\gamma \mathbb{F}^* \neq \mathbb{F}^*$, $\pi(\gamma \mathbb{F}^*) = x_{\gamma} + \mathbb{F}^*$.

- $\pi(\mathbb{F}) = \{x_{\gamma}\} = \mathcal{O}$

Decomposition of π

 $\mathbb{E} \ \mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{256}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{16} \qquad \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F}$

16 additive cosets $x + \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}$, 17 multiplicative cosets $\gamma \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma$

Multiplicative cosets to additive cosets

- For any $\gamma \mathbb{F}^*
 eq \mathbb{F}^*$, $\pi(\gamma \mathbb{F}^*) = x_\gamma + \mathbb{F}^*$.
- $\pi(\mathbb{F}) = \{x_{\gamma}\} = \mathcal{O}$
- $\gamma \mathbb{F}^* \rightsquigarrow x_{\gamma} + \mathbb{F}^*$ always the same.

Decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$

 $\mathbb{E} \ \mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{256}, \quad \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{16}$

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F}$$

16 additive cosets $x + \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathcal{O}$, 17 multiplicative cosets $\gamma \mathbb{F}^*, \gamma \in \Gamma$

Multiplicative cosets to additive cosets

- For any $\gamma \mathbb{F}^* \neq \mathbb{F}^*$, $\pi(\gamma \mathbb{F}^*) = x_{\gamma} + \mathbb{F}^*$.
- $\pi(\mathbb{F}) = \{x_{\gamma}\} = \mathcal{O}$
- $\gamma \mathbb{F}^* \rightsquigarrow x_\gamma + \mathbb{F}^*$ always the same.

Decomposition of π

With well-chosen $\mathbb{F}_2^8 \simeq \mathbb{L}$, Γ , \mathcal{O} , π can be expressed as:

$$egin{array}{rl} \pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}}:\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}& o&\mathbb{L}\ \gammaarphi&\mapsto& {\it G}(\gamma)+{\it F}(arphi) \end{array}$$

where $G: \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, $F: \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$ with F(0) = 0 and $\pi(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{O}$.

[Perrin19]



when $\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \setminus \mathbb{F}, arphi \in \mathbb{F}^*.$



A few novelties

- The choice of \mathcal{O} is understood.
- G is understood.
- $\pi|_{\mathbb{F}}$ and G behaves in the "same way".



when $\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \setminus \mathbb{F}, arphi \in \mathbb{F}^*.$



A few novelties

- The choice of \mathcal{O} is understood.
- G is understood.
- $\pi|_{\mathbb{F}}$ and G behaves in the "same way".

Can we say more about this structure ?

$\textcircled{1} \pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}}: \quad \gamma\varphi \ \mapsto \ \mathsf{G}(\gamma) + \mathsf{F}(\varphi) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{\Gamma}, \mathcal{O}, \ \text{sys. of reps.} \qquad \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \varphi \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \blacksquare & \pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \ \mapsto \ \mathsf{G}(\gamma) + \mathsf{F}(\varphi) & & \mathsf{\Gamma},\mathcal{O}, \ \text{sys. of reps.} & & \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \varphi \in \mathbb{F} \end{array}$$

Generalizing π

 $[\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}] = 2$ $|\mathbb{L}^*| = 2^{2t} - 1 = (2^t - 1)(2^t + 1)$ $|\mathbb{F}| = 2^t + 1$, $|\mathcal{O}| = |\mathbb{F}| = 2^t$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \blacksquare & \pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \ \mapsto \ G(\gamma) + F(\varphi) & \Gamma, \mathcal{O}, \ \text{sys. of reps.} & \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Generalizing } \pi \\ \llbracket L : \mathbb{F} \rrbracket = 2 & |\mathbb{L}^*| = 2^{2t} - 1 = (2^t - 1)(2^t + 1) & |\Gamma| = 2^t + 1, \quad |\mathcal{O}| = |\mathbb{F}| = 2^t. \\ & \Pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \ \mapsto \ G(\gamma) + F(\varphi) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } G \colon \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}, \quad F \colon \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}, \ \text{with } F(0) = 0 \ \text{and } \Pi(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{O}. \end{array}$$

Walsh coefficients of Π

 $\widehat{\Pi}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \beta \Pi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \blacksquare & \pi|_{\mathbb{L}\backslash\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \mapsto & \mathsf{G}(\gamma) + \mathsf{F}(\varphi) & & \mathsf{\Gamma}, \mathcal{O}, \text{ sys. of reps.} & & \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \varphi \in \mathbb{F} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \mathsf{Generalizing} \ \pi \\ [\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}] = 2 & & |\mathbb{L}^*| = 2^{2t} - 1 = (2^t - 1)(2^t + 1) & & |\mathsf{\Gamma}| = 2^t + 1, & |\mathcal{O}| = |\mathbb{F}| = 2^t. \\ & & \Pi|_{\mathbb{L}\backslash\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \mapsto & \mathsf{G}(\gamma) + \mathsf{F}(\varphi) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathsf{where} \ & \mathsf{G} \colon \mathsf{\Gamma} \setminus \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}, & \mathsf{F} \colon \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}, \text{ with } \mathsf{F}(0) = 0 \text{ and } \Pi(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{O}. \end{array}$$

Walsh coefficients of Π

 $\widehat{\Pi}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta \Pi(\lambda))} \qquad \qquad H \colon \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}, \ x \mapsto \ \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\gamma_{\beta} \Pi(x))$

$$\widehat{\Pi}_{\beta}(\alpha) = \widehat{H}_{\varphi_{\beta}}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\alpha)) - \widehat{H}_{\varphi_{\beta}}(0) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{F}} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\beta G(\gamma))} \widehat{F}_{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\beta)}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\alpha\gamma))$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \blacksquare & \Pi|_{\mathbb{L}\setminus\mathbb{F}} \colon & \gamma\varphi \ \mapsto \ \mathsf{G}(\gamma) + \mathsf{F}(\varphi) & \Gamma, \mathcal{O}, \text{ sys. of reps.} & \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \varphi \in \mathbb{F} \\ \widehat{\Pi}_{\beta}(\alpha) \coloneqq & \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta\Pi(\lambda))} \end{array}$

A specific choice for Γ [$\mathbb{L}: \mathbb{F}$] = 2 \implies Γ can be the subgroup \mathbb{G} of order $2^t + 1$. A specific choice for Γ [$\mathbb{L}: \mathbb{F}$] = 2 \implies Γ can be the subgroup \mathbb{G} of order $2^t + 1$.

Functions with low linearity

 $\Gamma = \mathbb{G}, F = \mathrm{Id}.$

A specific choice for Γ $[\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}] = 2 \implies \Gamma$ can be the subgroup \mathbb{G} of order $2^t + 1$.

Functions with low linearity

 $\Gamma = \mathbb{G}, \ F = \mathrm{Id}. \qquad \text{For } \forall \ \alpha, \forall \ \beta \neq 0, \quad |\widehat{\Pi}_{\beta}(\alpha)| \leq 2^{t+2}.$

Best known bijections achieve $\leq 2^{t+1}$

1a) The Sbox π

- 1b) Bijections mapping $\gamma \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ onto ${\it G}(\gamma) + \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ (and their linearity)

- 2a) The Kim mapping κ
- 2b) Functions mapping $\gamma \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ onto $F(\gamma) \mathbb{F}_{2^t}^*$ (and their APN-ness)

Kim mapping[BDMW10] $\kappa: \mathbb{F}_{64} \to \mathbb{F}_{64}$

$$x \quad \mapsto \quad x^3 + x^{10} + u x^{24};$$

where u is a specific root of $x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$.

Kim mapping

$$\kappa: \quad \mathbb{F}_{64} \quad \to \quad \mathbb{F}_{64}$$
$$x \quad \mapsto \quad x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24};$$

where u is a specific root of $x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$.

The reason of the fame

- Optimal resistance against differential cryptanalysis (APN)
- Even number of variables and CCZ-equivalent to a bijection

 $F \sim G \iff \exists \mathcal{A} \text{ affine, bijective,} \quad \mathcal{A}(\{(x, F(x)), x \in \mathbb{L}\}) = \{(x, G(x)), x \in \mathbb{L}\}$

Kim mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \colon & \mathbb{F}_{64} & \to & \mathbb{F}_{64} \\ & x & \mapsto & x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24}; \end{aligned}$$

where u is a specific root of $x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$.

The reason of the fame

- Optimal resistance against differential cryptanalysis (APN)
- Even number of variables and CCZ-equivalent to a bijection

 $F \sim G \iff \exists \mathcal{A} \text{ affine, bijective,} \quad \mathcal{A}(\{(x, F(x)), x \in \mathbb{L}\}) = \{(x, G(x)), x \in \mathbb{L}\}$

Big APN problem

Up to CCZ-equivalence, does there exist any other APN permutation in even dimension ?

A "special" property

[BDMW10]

" κ maps the subspace $\lambda \mathbb{F}_8$ to the subspace $\kappa(\lambda) \mathbb{F}_8$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ "

The subspace property

A "special" property

[BDMW10]

" κ maps the subspace $\lambda \mathbb{F}_8$ to the subspace $\kappa(\lambda)\mathbb{F}_8$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ "

Subspace property

 $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$ two finite fields. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfies the subspace property if:

 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}.$

The subspace property

A "special" property



" κ maps the subspace $\lambda \mathbb{F}_8$ to the subspace $\kappa(\lambda) \mathbb{F}_8$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{64}$ "

```
Subspace property
```

 $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$ two finite fields. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfies the subspace property if:

 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}.$

Mapping cosets onto cosets

 $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{L}$ two finite fields. $F: \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfies the subspace property iff:

 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \exists G_{\lambda} : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ bijective s.t: $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{F}, \quad F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda)G_{\lambda}(\varphi).$

If $F(\lambda) \neq 0$, G_{λ} unique

Properties of the Kim mapping (1/2)

$$\kappa(x) = x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24}$$

Properties of the Kim mapping (1/2)

$$\kappa(x) = x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24}$$

Observation

$$\forall \ \varphi \in \mathbb{F}, \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \quad \kappa(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^3 \kappa(\lambda)$$

Proof: As $|\mathbb{F}^*| = 7$, we get $\varphi^3 = \varphi^{10} = \varphi^{24}$.

$$\kappa(x) = x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24}$$

Observation

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{F}, \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \quad \kappa(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^3 \kappa(\lambda)$$

Proof: As $|\mathbb{F}^*| = 7$, we get $\varphi^3 = \varphi^{10} = \varphi^{24}$.

Cyclotomic mapping[Wang07] $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{L}^*$ a subgroup. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ is a cyclotomic mapping of order d over \mathbb{G} if: $\forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \forall \ \varphi \in \mathbb{G}, \quad F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) \iff F = x^d P(x^{|G|})$

Here: $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}^*$

[BDMW10]

$$\kappa(x) = x^3 + x^{10} + ux^{24}$$

Observation

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{F}, \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \quad \kappa(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^3 \kappa(\lambda)$$

Proof: As $|\mathbb{F}^*| = 7$, we get $\varphi^3 = \varphi^{10} = \varphi^{24}$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} & [Wang07] \\ & \mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{L}^* \text{ a subgroup. } F \colon \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L} \text{ is a cyclotomic mapping of order } d \text{ over } \mathbb{G} \text{ if:} \\ & \forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \forall \ \varphi \in \mathbb{G}, \quad F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad F = x^d P(x^{|G|}) \end{array}$

Here: $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}^*$

[WanLidl91]

[BDMW10]

- Also known as Wan Lidl polynomials
- Studies about graphs or permutations,
- only a few about cryptographic properties

[AkbWan07, BorPanWan23, Laigle-Chapuy07] [ChenCoulter23, Gologlu23, BeiBriLea21]

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{E} & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, & F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda) \mathbb{F} \\ & Cyclotomic: \ \exists \ d, \forall \ \lambda, \forall \ \varphi, & F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{l} & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, & F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda) \mathbb{F} \\ & Cyclotomic: \ \exists \ d, \forall \ \lambda, \forall \ \varphi, & F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) \end{array}$

Trivial relations

- Cyclotomic $\implies \forall \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) \subset F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}.$
- Cyclotomic mapping satisfies the subspace property $\iff x \mapsto x^d$ bijective over \mathbb{F}

Subspace prop: $\forall \lambda$, $F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}$ Cyclotomic: $\exists d, \forall \lambda, \forall \varphi$, $F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda)$

Trivial relations

- Cyclotomic $\implies \forall \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) \subset F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}.$
- Cyclotomic mapping satisfies the subspace property $\iff x \mapsto x^d$ bijective over $\mathbb F$

Generalized cyclotomic mapping [BorsWang22] $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{L}^*$ a subgroup. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ is a generalized cyclotomic mapping over \mathbb{G} if: $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \exists d_{\lambda}, \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{G}, \quad F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^{d_{\lambda}} F(\lambda)$



Trivial relations

- Cyclotomic $\implies \forall \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) \subset F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}.$
- Cyclotomic mapping satisfies the subspace property $\iff x \mapsto x^d$ bijective over $\mathbb F$

Generalized cyclotomic mapping

[BorsWang22]

 $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{L}^*$ a subgroup. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ is a generalized cyclotomic mapping over \mathbb{G} if:

 $\forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{L}, \exists \ d_{\lambda}, \forall \ \varphi \in \mathbb{G}, \quad F(\varphi \lambda) = \varphi^{d_{\lambda}} F(\lambda)$

More trivial relations

- Gen. cyclotomic $\implies \forall \lambda$, $F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) \subset F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}$.
- Gen. cyclotomic mapping satisfies the subspace property $\iff \forall \lambda, \gcd(d_{\lambda}, |\mathbb{F}^*|) = 1$

Spectral point of view (1/2)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \blacksquare & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda) \mathbb{F}. \\ & \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} \end{array}$

 $F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda) G_{\lambda}(\varphi), \text{ with } G_{\lambda} \colon \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$ $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}, \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{t}}$

Spectral point of view (1/2)

Decomposition of Walsh coefficients

 $\[\]$ system of representatives, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{L}$. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfying the subspace property. Then:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{eta}(lpha) = -2^t + \sum_{\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}} \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\lambda \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(eta \mathcal{F}(\gamma))}(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(lpha \gamma)).$$

Spectral point of view (1/2)

Decomposition of Walsh coefficients

 $\[\]$ system of representatives, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{L}$. $F : \mathbb{L} \to \mathbb{L}$ satisfying the subspace property. Then:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta}(\alpha) = -2^{t} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\lambda \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\beta \mathcal{F}(\gamma))}(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}}(\alpha \gamma)).$$

Symmetries of Walsh coefficients

Let $G: \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$. F satisfies the subspace property with $G_{\lambda} = G \ \forall \ \lambda$ if and only if:

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{L}, \ \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{F}^*, \quad \widehat{F}_{\beta G(\varphi)}(\alpha) = \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha \varphi^{-1}).$$

Spectral point of view (2/2)



Spectral point of view (2/2)



Spectral point of view (2/2)



 $\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{I} & \text{Subspace prop: } \forall \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda) \mathbb{F}. & F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda) G_{\lambda}(\varphi), \text{ with } G_{\lambda} \colon \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \\ & \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} & N_{\lambda} := \frac{|F^{-1}(\lambda \mathbb{F})|}{|\mathbb{F}|} \end{array}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \blacksquare & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}. \\ & \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} \\ & N_{\lambda} := \frac{|F^{-1}(\lambda \mathbb{F})|}{|\mathbb{F}|} \end{array}$$

Walsh coefficients in zero

F satisfying the subspace property. $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$. Then

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{L}^*, \quad \widehat{F}_{\beta}(0) = 2^t (N_{\beta^{-1}} - 1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{P} & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}. \qquad F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda)G_{\lambda}(\varphi), \ \text{with} \ G_{\lambda}: \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \\ & \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} \qquad N_{\lambda} := \frac{|F^{-1}(\lambda \mathbb{F})|}{|\mathbb{F}|} \end{array}$$

Walsh coefficients in zero

F satisfying the subspace property. $[\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}] = 2$. Then

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{L}^*, \quad \widehat{F}_{\beta}(0) = 2^t (N_{\beta^{-1}} - 1)$$





$$\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{P} & Subspace \ prop: \ \forall \ \lambda, \quad F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}. \qquad F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda)G_{\lambda}(\varphi), \ \text{with} \ G_{\lambda}: \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \\ & \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} \qquad N_{\lambda} := \frac{|F^{-1}(\lambda \mathbb{F})|}{|\mathbb{F}|} \end{array}$$

Walsh coefficients in zero

F satisfying the subspace property. $[\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}] = 2$. Then

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{L}^*, \quad \widehat{F}_{\beta}(0) = 2^t (N_{\beta^{-1}} - 1)$$





Subspace property and APNness

Subspace property and APNness

Necessary condition to be APN

Proof:

F quadratic satisfying the subspace property. $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$.

- If
$${\it F}$$
 is APN then ${\cal N}_0+{\cal N}_2\geq rac{2(2^t+1)}{3}$

- If
$$\mathcal{L}(F) = 2^{t+1}$$
 and $\mathcal{N}_0 + \mathcal{N}_2 \geq \frac{2(2^t+1)}{3}$ then F is APN.

[BerCanChaLai06]

Subspace prop:
$$\forall \lambda$$
, $F(\lambda \mathbb{F}) = F(\lambda)\mathbb{F}$. $F(\lambda \varphi) = F(\lambda)G_{\lambda}(\varphi)$, with $G_{\lambda} : \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$
 $N_{\lambda} := \frac{|F^{-1}(\lambda \mathbb{F})|}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ $\mathcal{N}_{i} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma, N_{\gamma} = i\}$
Subspace prop. when $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2 \implies \widehat{F}_{\beta}(0) = 2^{t}(N_{\beta^{-1}} - 1)$

Necessary condition to be APN

F quadratic satisfying the subspace property. $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$.

- If
$${\it F}$$
 is APN then ${\cal N}_0+{\cal N}_2\geq rac{2(2^t+1)}{3}$

- If
$$\mathcal{L}(F) = 2^{t+1}$$
 and $\mathcal{N}_0 + \mathcal{N}_2 \geq \frac{2(2^t+1)}{3}$ then F is APN.
Proof:

[BerCanChaLai06]

One already-solved case

[Gologlu2023, ChaLis21]

F quadratic cyclotomic when $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$.

- If $t \neq 3$: **F** APN \iff **F** \sim_{CCZ} Gold power
- If t = 3: **F** APN \iff **F** \sim_{CCZ} Gold power or **F** $\sim_{CCZ} \kappa$.

Squares of zeros

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{P} & \textit{Cyclotomic: } \exists \ d, \forall \ \lambda, \forall \ \varphi, \quad F(\varphi\lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) & \qquad \ \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}, \ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{2^t} \\ \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} & \qquad \ Z_F := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta), \widehat{F}_{\beta}(\alpha) = 0 \right\} \cup \{ (0, 0) \} \end{array}$$

Squares of zeros

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{\begin{subarray}{ll} \blacksquare} & Cyclotomic: \end{subarray} \exists \end{subarray} d, \forall \end{subarray} \lambda, \forall \end{subarray}, \end{subarray} & F(\varphi\lambda) = \varphi^d F(\lambda) \\ & \widehat{F}_\beta(\alpha) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{L}} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\alpha\lambda + \beta F(\lambda))} \\ & Z_F := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta), \widehat{F}_\beta(\alpha) = 0 \right\} \cup \{ (0, 0) \} \end{array}$$

Walsh zeroes

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{F} \mbox{ CCZ-equiv. to a bijection iff } & \exists, U, V \subset Z_{\textit{F}} \mbox{ subspaces of dim. } n, \ U \cap V = \{0\}. \\ & \quad \mbox{ For } \kappa, \ U = u_1 \mathbb{F} \times u_2 \mathbb{F}, \ V = v_1 \mathbb{F} \times v_2 \mathbb{F}. \end{array}$

Squares of zeros

Walsh zeroes

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{F} \mbox{ CCZ-equiv. to a bijection iff } & \exists, U, V \subset Z_{\textit{F}} \mbox{ subspaces of dim. } n, \ U \cap V = \{0\}. \\ & \quad \mbox{ For } \kappa, \ U = u_1 \mathbb{F} \times u_2 \mathbb{F}, \ V = v_1 \mathbb{F} \times v_2 \mathbb{F}. \end{array}$



Characterization of $\alpha \mathbb{F}^* \times \beta \mathbb{F}^* \subset Z_F$ For cyclotomic mappings of order d over \mathbb{F} , $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{F}] = 2$ - $F(\mathbb{L}) = c\mathbb{F} \implies \forall \alpha, \ \alpha \mathbb{F}^* \times c\mathbb{F}^* \subset Z_F$

- Otherwise*, can only happened if $\widehat{F}_{\beta}(0) = 0$.
- [•] Full characterization in the abstract

Generalizations of π and linearity

Can we go below $\mathcal{L}(\Pi) \leq 2^{t+2}$ with other $\Gamma, \mathcal{O}, F, G$? constructions close to this one?

Subspace property / Cyclotomic mapping APNness

- Study of non-bijective cyclotomic mapping
- Still hope : non-quadratic or $[\mathbb{L}:\mathbb{F}]\neq 2$
- Computer search

CCZ-equivalence and bijectivity

Is the characterization of Walsh-zeros "square" sporadic or not ?

Thanks ! 🙂

